

REMARKS

The present application was filed on September 23, 2003, with claims 1-20. Claims 1-20 remain pending. Claims 1, 19 and 20 are the independent claims.

The present application has an effective filing date of December 20, 2002, via a priority claim to a previous provisional application.

Applicants request reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and the remarks below.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 12 and 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,751,298 (hereinafter "Bhogal"). Each of the remaining claims stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhogal in view of one or more other cited references.

In this response, Applicants amend independent claims 1, 19 and 20 to clarify the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. More specifically, each of these claims has been amended to refer to two servers, namely, the originally-recited server which is further specified as being a first server and located outside of an enterprise firewall, and a second server which is further specified as being located behind the enterprise firewall. Examples of the first and second servers can be seen in elements 106 and 104, respectively, of the illustrative embodiment of FIG. 1. The claims also recite that the voice messaging system and a call processing element are located behind the enterprise firewall. An example of the call processing element can be seen in element 122 of the illustrative embodiment of FIG. 1. Additional recitations provide that the voice messaging system upon receipt of the voice message for the corresponding user makes an outgoing call to the call processing element, that the outgoing call is routed by the call processing element to the second server, and that the second server communicates information associated with the outgoing call to the first server, with the first server utilizing that information to deliver the push content to the mobile client device.

Support for the amendments to independent claims 1, 19 and 20 can be found, for example, in FIG. 1 of the drawings, and in the associated text at page 4, line 25, to page 5, line 2, page 7, lines 5-9, and page 13, line 20, to page 15, line 19, of the specification.

Additional amendments have been made to certain of the dependent claims in order to maintain consistency with amended independent claim 1.

In view of the above, Applicants believe that claims 1-20 as amended are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request withdrawal of the §102(e) and §103(a) rejections.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joseph B. Ryan". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large, prominent "J" and "R".

Date: October 28, 2005

Joseph B. Ryan
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 37,922
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-7517